PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORI	TY							
To: 200233		PCT						
435 Guiping Road, Shanghai, P.R. China								
SHANGHAI PATENT & TRADEMARK	AGENCY	_	NION OF THE INTERNATIONAL RCHING AUTHORITY					
		(PCT Rule 43 bis.1)					
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	2005 (0 8 · 12 · 20 0 5)					
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER A	CTION					
054690 PC			see paragraph 2 below					
International application No.	International filing da	ate (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)					
PCT/CN2005/000922	_	5 (24.06.2005)						
International Patent Classification (IPC) or b								
I	PC ⁷ G06F15/18 G	06F17/00 G10L15/0	0					
Applicant								
INTEL CORPORATION et	al							
1. This opinion contains indications relating	ng to the following iten	ns:						
Box No. I Basis of the opinion	on							
☐ Box No.II Priority☐ Box No. III Non-establishmen	t of opinion with regard	d to novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability					
Box No. IV Lack of unity of in	-	a to novelty, mivemitve	stop and measurar approaching					
· —)(i)with regard to nove	lty, inventive step or industrial applicability;					
citations and explanations supporting such statement								
Box No.VI Certain documents								
☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in☐ Box No.VIII Certain observation								
Box 140. VIII Certain observation	ons on the international	application						
2. FURTHER ACTION								
International Preliminary Examining A	uthority ("IPEA") exc EA and the chosen IPE	cept that this does not the late	considered to be a written opinion of the ot apply where the applicant chooses an mational Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that					
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.								
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/2	20.							
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT	/ISA/220.							
Nome and mailing address afek a ICA/CNI	Data of as malating	f this aninis-	Authorized office的方法					
Name and mailing address of the ISA/CN The State Intellectual Property Office, the	Date of completion of 17.Nov 2005	_	Authorized officer					
P.R.China 6 Xitucheng Rd., Jimen Bridge,	17.1101 2005		即前					
Haidian District, Beijing, China 100088								
Facsimile No. 86-10-62019451			Telephone No. (86-10)62084927					

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/CN2005/000922

Box	k No	. I Basis of the opinion	
1.	Wit	th regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
		the international application in the language in which it was filed a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).	1
2.		th regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed rention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	1
	a.	type of material a sequence listing table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b.	format of material on paper in electronic form	
	c.	time of filing/furnishing contained in the international application as filed filed together with the international application in electronic form furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search	
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	r ,
4.	Ado	ditional comments:	
			,
	, _,,		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/CN2005/000922

Box No. V		Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;					
		citations and explanation	s supporting	such statement			
1.	Statemen	ıt:					
	Nov	velty (N)	Claims	1-20	YES		
			Claims	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NO		
	Inve	entive step (IS)	Claims		YES		
			Claims	1-20	NO		
	Indus	trial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-20	YES		
			Claims	•	NO		

2. Citations and explanations

(1) Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: CN 1512300 A (see figure 8 and accompanying text, and in particular claim 1, 13, 14)

(2)Inventive step of Claim 1:

D1 discloses a method for correcting a spoken utterance of a language learner, including the following steps: recording a spoken utterance (see in particular claim 13); evaluating the pronunciation of the spoken utterance for accuracy (see in particular claim 14); evaluating the spoken utterance for duration (see in particular claim 14); and evaluating the spoken utterance for pitch and strength (see in particular claim 14); at last, assigning a score to the spoken utterance based on the accuracy, the duration, the pitch and strength (see in particular claim 1). Thus, it can be seen that the difference between D1 and claim 1 of the present invention is that D1 further evaluates the pitch and strength and assigns the score based on them. But for the skilled person in the art, it is obviously to select some characteristic values from the spoken utterance of the learner (for example, the accuracy, the duration, the pitch and the strength) and thereby assign a score to the spoken utterance. Thus, the subject-matter of claim 1 is not inventive within the meaning of PCT Article 33(3).

(3)Inventive step of Claims 2-4, 6-8:

All the additional technical features of dependent claims 2-4 and 6-8 are directly disclosed by D1(see figure 8 and accompanying text), therefore the subject matter of claims 2-4 and 6-8 are not inventive under PCT Article 33(3).

(4)Inventive step of Claim 5:

The additional features of dependent claim 5 is: uploading assigned score to a server module to provide a learning history to a remote teacher. With the development of the network technology, the remote teaching is getting more and more popular, so for a skilled person in the art it is easy to consider sending the assigned score to a server via the network to provide a learning history to a remote teacher. Thus, claim 5 doesn't meet the requirement of PCT Article 33(3) in respect to inventive step.

(5) Inventive step of Claims 9-16 and 17-20.

Independent claim 9 claims a machine-readable medium and independent claim 17 claims an apparatus, in fact, they all implement the function of the method according to claim 1. However, as the method of claim 1 is not inventive, it would be obvious for the skilled person to implement its steps as an apparatus or a machine-readable medium. Therefore, the subject-matters of claim 9 and 17 are not inventive.

For the same reason, the dependent claims 10-16 of claim 9 and the dependent claims 18-20 of claim 17 are not inventive too.

Therefore Claims 9-16 and 17-20 don't meet the requirement of PCT Article 33(3) in respect to inventive step.